Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 1 of 44

#### APPEAL No. 14-14061

#### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

JAMES DOMER BRENNER; CHARLES DEAN JONES; STEPHEN SCHLAIRET; OZZIE RUSS; SLOAN GRIMSLEY; JOYCE ALBU; BOB COLLIER; CHUCK HUNZIKER; LINDSAY MYERS; SARAH HUMLIE; ROBERT LOUPO; JOHN FITZGERALD; DENISE HUESO; SANDRA NEWSON; JUAN DEL HIERRO; THOMAS GANTT, JR.; CHRISTIAN ULVERT; CARLOS ANDRADE; RICHARD MILSTEIN; ERIC HANKIN; ARLENE GOLDBERG; CAROL GOLDWASSER;

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

V.

#### ATTORNEY GENERAL, STATE OF FLORIDA,

Defendant,

JOHN H. ARMSTRONG, In His Official Capacity as Agency Secretary for the Florida Department of Management Services; CRAIG J. NICHOLS, In His Official Capacity as Agency Secretary for the Florida Department of Management Services; HAROLD BAZZELL, In His Official Capacity as Clerk of Court and Comptroller for Washington County Florida,

Defendants-Appellants.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida Civil Case No. 4:14-cv-00107-RH-CAS (Judge Robert L. Hinkle)

# AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF OF AMERICAN COLLEGE OF PEDIATRICIANS IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS-APPELLANTS AND REVERSAL

Rachael Spring Loukonen, Esq.
Cohen & Grigsby, P.C.
Mercato – Suite 6200
9110 Strada Place
Naples, Florida 34108-2938
Telephone – (239) 390-1913
Facsimile – (239) 390-1901
E-mail: rloukonen@cohenlaw.com
Attorney for Amicus Curiae

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 2 of 44

#### APPEAL No. 14-14061

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

JAMES DOMER BRENNER, et al.;

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

V

JOHN H. ARMSTRONG, et al.,

Defendants-Appellants.

# CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS AND CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Amicus Curiae American College of Pediatricians, pursuant to 11th Cir. R. 26.1-1, certifies that the following persons and entities have an interest in the outcome of this case and/or appeal:

Albu, Joyce

American Civil Liberties Union of Florida, Inc.

American Civil Liberties Union Foundation, Inc.

American Civil Liberties Union Foundation of Florida, Inc.

American College of Pediatricians

Andrade, Carlos

Armstrong, Dr. John H.

Ausley McMullen

Bazzell, Harold

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 3 of 44

#### APPEAL No. 14-14061

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

#### JAMES DOMER BRENNER, et al.;

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

V.

# JOHN H. ARMSTRONG, et al.,

Defendants-Appellants.

Bledsoe, Jacobson, Schmidt, Wright & Wilkinson

Bondi, Pamela Jo, Attorney General of Florida

Brenner, James Domer

Citro, Anthony

Cohen & Grigsby, P.C.

Collier, Bob

Cooper, Leslie

Crampton, Stephen M.

Del Hierro, Juan

DeMaggio, Bryan E.

Emmanuel, Stephen C.

Fitzgerald, John

Florida Conference of Catholic Bishops, Inc.

Florida Family Action, Inc.

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 4 of 44

#### APPEAL No. 14-14061

#### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

#### JAMES DOMER BRENNER, et al.;

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

V

### JOHN H. ARMSTRONG, et al.,

Defendants-Appellants.

Gantt, Thomas, Jr.

Goldberg, Arlene

Goldwasser, Carol (deceased)

Goodman, James J., Jr.

Graessle, Jonathan W.

Grimsley, Sloan

Hankin, Eric

Hinkle, Hon. Robert L.

Hueso, Denise

Humlie, Sarah

Hunziker, Chuck

Jacobson, Samuel

Jeff Goodman, PA

Jones, Charles Dean

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 5 of 44

#### APPEAL No. 14-14061

#### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

#### JAMES DOMER BRENNER, et al.;

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

V.

# JOHN H. ARMSTRONG, et al.,

Defendants-Appellants.

Kachergus, Matthew R.

Kayanan, Maria

Liberty Counsel, Inc.

Liberty Counsel Action, Inc.

Loukonen, Rachael Spring

Loupo, Robert

Mihet, Horatio G.

Milstein, Richard

Myers, Lindsay

Newson, Sandra

Nichols, Craig J.

Podhurst Orseck, P.A.

Rosenthal, Stephen F.

Russ, Ozzie

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 6 of 44

#### **APPEAL NO. 14-14061**

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

#### JAMES DOMER BRENNER, et al.;

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

V

#### JOHN H. ARMSTRONG, et al.,

Defendants-Appellants.

Save Foundation, Inc.

Schlairet, Stephen

Scott, Rick

Sevier, Chris

Sheppard, White, Kachergus and DeMaggio, P.A.

Sheppard, William J.

Stampelos, Hon. Charles A.

Staver, Anita L.

Staver, Mathew D.

Stevenson, Benjamin James

Tanenbaum, Adam S.

Tilley, Daniel B.

Ulvert, Christian

White, Elizabeth L.

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 7 of 44

#### APPEAL No. 14-14061

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

JAMES DOMER BRENNER, et al.;

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

JOHN H. ARMSTRONG, et al.,

Defendants-Appellants.

Winsor, Allen C.

American College of Pediatricians hereby discloses that it does not have any parent corporation or any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stock.

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 8 of 44

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERT	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
TABI	LE OF CITATIONSi
IDEN	TITY AND INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE
STAT	TEMENT OF THE ISSUE
SUM	MARY OF ARGUMENT
ARG	UMENT
I.	Compelling Evidence Shows that Children Benefit from the Unique Parenting Contributions of Both Men and Women.
II.	The Claim of "No Difference" in Outcomes of Children Raised by Gay and Lesbian Parents and Intact Biological Parents Is Empirically Undermined by Significant Methodological Limitations.
	A. The APA studies are based on small sample sizes
	B. The APA's studies are largely based on homogeneous samples 17
	C. Most of the samples in the APA-cited studies relied on non-random, convenience sampling.
III.	The Largest Population-Based Studies Do Not Confirm the "No Differences" Conclusion about Child Outcomes among Same-Sex Parents.
CON	CLUSION22
CERT	ΓΙFICATE OF COMPLIANCE28
CERT	ΓΙFICATE OF SERVICE29

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 9 of 44

# **TABLE OF CITATIONS**

# Cases

Bowen v. Gilliard, 483 U.S. 587 (1987)
*Lofton v. Secretary of the Department of Children and Family Services, 358 F.3d 804 (11th Cir. 2004)14
Perry v. Schwarzenegger, 704 F. Supp. 2d 921 (N.D. Cal. 2010)
Other Authorities
Douglas W. Allen, et al.,  Nontraditional Families and Childhood Progress Through School: A  Comment on Rosenfeld, Demography, November 2012,  http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s13524-012-0169-  x/fulltext.html
Douglas W. Allen,  High school graduation rates among children of same-sex households, Rev. Econ. Household, Sept. 2013
Paul R. Amato,  More Than Money? Men's Contributions to Their Children's Lives?, in Men in Families, When Do They Get Involved? What Difference Does It Make? 267 (1998)
Paul R. Amato & Fernando Rivera,  Paternal Involvement and Children's Behavior Problems, 61 Journal  of Marriage and the Family 375 (1999)
Gunnar Anderson, et al.,  The Demographics of Same-Sex Marriages In Norway and Sweden,  43 Demography 79 (2006)
Linda Carroll, <i>Dads Empower Kids to Take Chances</i> , http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/37741738 (last visited November 17, 2014)

Marilyn Coleman, et al.,  Reinvestigating Remarriage: Another Decade of Progress, 62 Journal of Marriage and the Family 1288 (2000)
Scott Coltrane, Family Man (1996)
Suzanne A. Denham, et al.,  Prediction of Externalizing Behavior Problems From Early to Middle  Childhood: The Role of Parental Socialization and Emotion  Expression, in Development and Psychopathology 23 (2000)
M. DeWolff & M. van Izjendoorn,  Sensitivity and Attachment: A Meta-Analysis on Parental Antecedents  of Infant Attachment, 68 Child Development 571 (1997)
Greg Duncan & Jeanne Brooks-Gunn,  Consequences of Growing Up Poor (1999)
David J. Eggebeen, <i>Do Fathers Matter for Adolescent Well-Being</i> , in Gender and Parenthood 249 (W. Brad Wilcox & Kathleen Kovner Kline eds., 2012)
Jenet Jacob Erickson, Fathers Don't Mother and Mothers Don't Father: What Social Science Research Indicates about the Distinctive Contributions of Mothers and Fathers to Children's Development (2014), available at http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract _id=2519862
Ruth Feldman,  Oxytocin and Social Affiliation In Humans, 61 Hormones and Behavior 380 (2012)
Mark V. Flinn, et al.,  Fluctuating Asymmetry of Stepchildren, 20 Evolution of Human Behavior 465 (1999)
Norval D. Glenn,  The Struggle for Same-Sex Marriage, 41 Society 25 (2004)

Colleen Hoff & Sean Beougher,  Sexual Agreements Among Gay Male Couples, 39 Archives of Sexual Behavior 774 (2010)
Sandra L. Hofferth, et al.,  The Demography of Fathers: What Fathers Do, in Handbook of Father Involvement: Multidisciplinary Perspectives 81 (2002)
Michael E. Lamb,  Fathers: Forgotten Contributors to Child Development, 18 Human  Development 245 (1975)
Robert Lerner & Althea K. Nagai,  No Basis: What the Studies Don't Tell Us About Same-Sex Parenting  (Marriage Law Project 2001)
Laura Lott-Whitehead and Carol T. Tully,  The Family Lives of Lesbian Mothers, 63 Smith College Studies in Social Work 275 (1993)
Eleanor Maccoby,  The Two Sexes (1998)
M. Main & J. Solomon,  Discovery of an Insecure-Disorganized/Disoriented Attachment  Pattern, in Affective Development in Infancy 95 (1986)
Wendy D. Manning & Kathleen A. Lamb,  Adolescent Well-Being in Cohabiting, Married, & Single-Parent  Families, 65 Journal of Marriage and the Family 876 (2003)
*Loren D. Marks,  Same-Sex Parenting and Children's Outcomes: A Closer Examination of the American Psychological Association's Brief on Lesbian and Gay Parenting, 41 Social Science Research 735 (2012)passim
Sara McLanahan & Gary Sandefur,  Growing Up With a Single Parent: What Hurts, What Helps 1 (1994) 4, 9

Brent Miller, et al.,
Comparisons of Adopted and Non-Adopted Adolescents In a Large, Nationally Representative Sample, 71 Child Development 1458
(2000)
Kristen Anderson Moore, et al.,
Marriage from a Child's Perspective, Child Trends Research Brief (2002)
C.A. Nelson and M. Bosquet,
Neurobiology of Fetal and Infant Development: Implications for Infant Mental Health, in Handbook of Infant Mental Health 37, (2d ed. 2000)
Daniel Paquette & Mark Bigras,
The Risky Situation: A Procedure for Assessing the Father-Child Activation Relationship, 180 Early Childhood Development and Care 33 (2010)
Ross D. Parke,
Fatherhood (1996)
C.J. Patterson,  Children of Lesbian and Gay Parents, 63 Child Development 1025  (1992)
David Popenoe,
Life Without Father: Compelling New Evidence that Fatherhood &
Marriage are Indispensable for the Good of Children & Society (1996)
Thomas G. Powers, et al.,
Compliance and Self-Assertion: Young Children's Responses to Mothers Versus Fathers, 30 Developmental Psychology 980 (1994)
*Mark D. Regnerus,
How Different Are the Adult Children of Parents Who Have Same-Sex
Relationships? Findings from the New Family Structures Study, 41 Social Science Research 752 (2012)passim

] ] ]	D. Regnerus, Parental Same-Sex Relationships, Family Instability, and Subsequent Life Outcomes for Adult Children: Answering Critics of the New Family Structures Study with Additional Analysis, 41 Social Science Research 1367 (2012)
2	O. Regnerus & Laura B. Luchies,  The Parent-Child Relationship and Opportunities for Adolescents'  First Sex, 27 Journal of Family Issues 159 (2006)11
1	el J. Rosenfeld,  Nontraditional Families and Childhood Progress Through School, 47  Demography 755 (2010)
(	ntokas, Children In Three Contexts: Family, Education, and Social Development, 21 Children Australia 23 (1996)16, 17
Ì	l Shulman and Moshe M. Klein,  Distinctive Role of the Father in Adolescent Separation-Individuation,  See New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development 41 (1993) 10
0	R. Schumm, What Was Really Learned From Tasker & Golombok's (1995) Study of Lesbian & Single Parent Mothers?, 95 Psychological Reports 422 (2004)
1 1	R. Schumm,  Methodological Decisions and the Evaluation of Possible Effects of  Different Family Structures on Children: The New Family Structures  Survey, 41 Social Science Research 1357 (2012)
1	Snijders, Estimation on the Basis of Snowball Samples, 36 Bulletin de Methodologie Sociologique 59 (1992)
(	Stacey & Timothy Biblarz, (How) Does the Sexual Orientation of Parents Matter?, 66 American Sociological Review 159 (2001)

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 14 of 44

Fiona Tasker, Lesbian Mothers, Gay Fathers and Their Children: A Review,	
26 Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics 224 (2005)	18
W. Brad Wilcox, et al.,	
Why Marriage Matters: Twenty-Six Conclusions from the Social	
Sciences (3d ed. 2011)11	, 12

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 15 of 44

#### IDENTITY AND INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE<sup>1</sup>

The members of the American College of Pediatricians ("the College") devote their professional lives to promoting the health and wellbeing of children. As a medical association, the College has an interest in the broad spectrum of factors that impact the physical, mental, and social development of the young patients in their care. This interest extends to family structure and environment, which drives many of the outcomes for pediatric patients across a variety of key developmental categories.

The collective membership of the College has observed firsthand the effect of varied and changing family structures on the wellbeing of pediatric patients, and it is also familiar with the significant academic analysis and sociological data that augment its understanding of these issues. The College submits this brief to present to the Court its professional perspective concerning the effect of various parenting models and family structures on the development and wellbeing of the children under the care of America's pediatricians.

This brief is filed pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 29(a) because all parties have consented to its filing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This brief is filed with the consent of all parties. No party or party's counsel authored this brief in whole or in part or financially supported this brief, and no one other than Amicus Curiae, its members, or its counsel contributed money intended to fund preparing or submitting this brief.

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 16 of 44

#### STATEMENT OF THE ISSUE

Whether the Fourteenth Amendment requires Florida to redefine marriage to include same-sex couples.

#### SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

A persistent claim by supporters of same-sex marriage is that there is "no difference" in the outcomes of children raised by a biological mother and father and those who have been raised by two women or two men. That claim has also been advanced by associations like the American Psychological Association (APA). But as recent scholarship indicates, the claim is difficult to support because nearly all of the studies upon which the "no difference" assertion is based are rather limited, involving non-random, non-representative samples, often with relatively few participants. Specifically, the vast majority of the studies were based on samples of fewer than 100 parents or children, and typically representative of only well-educated, white women, often with elevated incomes. These are hardly representative samples of the lesbian and gay population raising children, and therefore not a sufficient basis to make broad claims about child outcomes of same-sex parenting structures.

These and other methodological limitations make the APA's confident "no difference" conclusion suspect. The claim also contradicts longstanding research asserting the view that the ideal environment for raising children is a stable home

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 17 of 44

headed by a married biological mother and father. The science on alternative parenting structures, especially the research on same-sex households, is relatively new. Therefore, a claim that another parenting structure provides the same level of benefit should be rigorously tested and based on quality research with sound methodologies and representative samples. Nearly all of the studies cited by the APA fail to meet those criteria.

The only studies based on large, random, representative samples tended to reveal the opposite conclusion, finding significant differences in the outcomes of children raised by parents in a same-sex relationship and those raised by a married biological mother and father. What is clear is that much more study is needed to define the true outcomes of alternative parenting structures. But there is no dispute that a biological mother and father provide, on average, an optimal and proven environment for raising children. And it is reasonable to conclude that a mother and father function as a complementary parenting unit and that each offers unique and beneficial contributions to a child's development.

The State of Florida thus has a rational interest in supporting that proven parenting structure by reserving the title and status of marriage to unions comprised of a man and a woman.

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 18 of 44

#### **ARGUMENT**

I. Compelling Evidence Shows that Children Benefit from the Unique Parenting Contributions of Both Men and Women.

It is a well-established and well-regarded sociological finding that "[c]hildren who grow up in a household with only one biological parent are worse off, on average, than children who grow up in a household with both of their biological parents . . . regardless of whether the resident parent remarries." Sara McLanahan & Gary Sandefur, Growing Up With a Single Parent: What Hurts. What Helps 1 (1994); see also Wendy D. Manning & Kathleen A. Lamb, Adolescent Well-Being in Cohabiting, Married, & Single-Parent Families, 65 J. Marriage & Fam. 876, 890 (2003) ("The advantage of marriage appears to exist primarily when the child is the biological offspring of both parents."); Kristen Anderson Moore, et al., Marriage from a Child's Perspective, Child Trends Research Brief at 1-2 (2002) ("[I]t is not simply the presence of two parents . . . but the presence of two biological parents that seems to support children's development.").

A few decades ago Justice William Brennan recognized what was likely considered a very unremarkable proposition when he stated that "the optimal situation for the child is to have both an involved mother and an involved father." *Bowen v. Gilliard*, 483 U.S. 587, 614 (1987) (Brennan, J. dissenting). Experts have long contended that both mothers and fathers make unique contributions to

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 19 of 44

parenting. As sociologist David Popenoe explains, "[t]he burden of social science evidence supports the idea that gender-differentiated parenting is important for human development and that the contribution of fathers to childrearing is unique and irreplaceable." David Popenoe, *Life Without Father: Compelling New Evidence that Fatherhood & Marriage are Indispensable for the Good of Children & Society* 146 (1996). Even Professor Michael Lamb, a well-known advocate of same-sex marriage, supported this view before he became a proponent of redefining marriage to include same-sex couples. He stated in no uncertain terms that "[b]oth mothers and fathers play crucial and qualitatively different roles in the socialization of the child." Michael E. Lamb, *Fathers: Forgotten Contributors to Child Development*, 18 Human Dev. 245, 246 (1975).

Current research on the psycho-social development of children continues to affirm that the complementarity of an intact family, with a mother and a father serving unique relational roles, is optimal for a child's healthy development. *See, e.g.*, Ruth Feldman, *Oxytocin and Social Affiliation In Humans*, 61 Hormones & Behav. 380-391 (2012) (noting the different roles that mothers and fathers play across species, the importance of those differences to human development, and suggesting that human oxytocin systems may account for the different yet complementary maternal and paternal functions). Even same-sex marriage supporters such as Dr. Lamb have admitted that men and women are not

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 20 of 44

"completely interchangeable with respect to skills and abilities" and that "data suggests that the differences between maternal and paternal behavior are more strongly related to either the parents' biological gender or sex roles, than to either their degree of involvement in infant care or their attitudes regarding the desirability of paternal involvement in infant care." Trial Transcript at 1064 & 1068, *Perry v. Schwarzenegger*, 704 F. Supp. 2d 921 (N.D. Cal. 2010) (No. C 09-2292 VRW).

Dr. Lamb's statement is consistent with a great deal of scholarship on the distinct ways in which separate maternal contributions and paternal contributions promote positive child-development outcomes. *See generally* Jenet Jacob Erickson, *Fathers Don't Mother and Mothers Don't Father: What Social Science Research Indicates about the Distinctive Contributions of Mothers and Fathers to Children's Development* (2014), *available at* http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=2519862 (summarizing the relevant social-science research). For example, distinctive maternal contributions are numerous and significant. The natural biological responsiveness of a mother to her infant fosters critical aspects of neural development and capabilities for interactivity in the infant brain.<sup>2</sup> Mothers are also

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See C.A. Nelson & M. Bosquet, Neurobiology of Fetal and Infant Development: Implications for Infant Mental Health, in Handbook of Infant Mental Health 37-59, (C.H. Zeanah Jr. ed., 2d ed. 2000); M. DeWolff & M. van Izjendoorn, Sensitivity and Attachment: A Meta-Analysis on Parental Antecedents of Infant Attachment, 68 Child Dev. 571-91 (1997); M. Main & J. Solomon, Discovery of an Insecure-

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 21 of 44

able to extract the maximum return on the temporal investments of both parents in a two-parent home because mothers provide critical direction for fathers on routine caretaking activities, particularly those involving infants and toddlers. *See* Sandra L. Hofferth, *et al.*, *The Demography of Fathers: What Fathers Do*, in Handbook of Father Involvement: Multidisciplinary Perspectives 81 (Catherine Tamis-Lamonda & Natasha Cabrera eds., 2002); Scott Coltrane, *Family Man* 54 (1996). This direction is needed in part because fathers do not share equally in the biological and hormonal interconnectedness that develops between a mother and a child during pregnancy, delivery, and lactation.

In comparison to fathers, mothers generally maintain more frequent and open communication and enjoy greater emotional closeness with their children, in turn fostering a sense of security in children with respect to the support offered by the family structure. Ross D. Parke, *Fatherhood* 7 (Developing Child Series, Jerome Bruner *et al.* eds., 1996). Mothers' typical mode of parent-child play is predictable, interactive, and geared toward joint problem-solving, which helps children to feel comfortable in the world they inhabit. Eleanor Maccoby, *The Two Sexes* 266-67 (1998); see also Parke, supra, at 5; Erickson, supra, at 9 ("Across all

Disorganized Disoriented Attachment Pattern, in Affective Development in Infancy 95-124 (T.B. Brazelton & M.W. Yogman eds., 1986).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Professor Maccoby, a distinguished feminist psychologist at Stanford University who championed the idea that sex differences were caused only by socialization, is

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 22 of 44

stages of a child's development mothers emerge as the preferred source of comfort in times of stress" (internal quotation marks omitted)). Mothers also impose more limits and tend to discipline more frequently, albeit with greater flexibility when compared with fathers. Maccoby, *supra*, at 273.

Mothers uniquely play a greater role in cultivating the language and communication skills of their children. Parke, *supra*, at 6. Mothers help children understand their own feelings and respond to the feelings of others, in part by encouraging open discussion of feelings and emotions within the family unit. See Suzanne A. Denham, et al., Prediction of Externalizing Behavior Problems From Early to Middle Childhood: The Role of Parental Socialization and Emotion Expression, in Development and Psychopathology 23-45 (2000); Maccoby, supra, at 272. Active maternal influence and input is vital to the breadth and depth of children's social ties, and mothers play a central role in connecting children to friends and extended family. Paul R. Amato, More Than Money? Men's Contributions to Their Children's Lives?, in Men in Families, When Do They Get Involved? What Difference Does It Make? 267 (Alan Booth & Ann C. Crouter eds., 1998).

Fathers also make distinctive contributions to the upbringing of their children, and positive paternal contributions play a key role in avoiding a variety of

now acknowledging the importance of biology in explaining sex differences in parenting. Maccoby, *supra*, at 314.

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 23 of 44

negative outcomes that arise with greater frequency in homes where a father is not present. Having a father is associated with an increase in positive outcomes for children in domains such as education, physical health, and the avoidance of juvenile delinquency. McLanahan & Sandefur, supra; Greg Duncan & Jeanne Brooks-Gunn, Consequences of Growing Up Poor (1999); Erickson, supra, at 10 (noting that many studies show that infants, children, and young adults are all positively affected by involved and caring fathers (quoting David J. Eggebeen, Do Fathers Matter for Adolescent Well-Being, in Gender and Parenthood 249 (W. Brad Wilcox & Kathleen Kovner Kline eds., 2012))). As Professor Norval Glenn has explained, "there are strong theoretical reasons for believing that both fathers and mothers are important, and the huge amount of evidence of relatively poor average outcomes among fatherless children makes it seem unlikely that these outcomes are solely the result of the correlates of fatherlessness and not of fatherlessness itself." Norval D. Glenn, The Struggle for Same-Sex Marriage, 41 Soc'y 25, 27 (2004).

Fathers engage proactively in spontaneous play with their children, and "children who roughhouse with their fathers . . . quickly learn that biting, kicking, and other forms of physical violence are not acceptable." Popenoe, *supra*, at 144. A study conducted by developmental psychologist Daniel Paquette found that fathers are also more likely to supervise children at play while refraining from

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 24 of 44

intervention in the child's activities, a pattern that stimulates "exploration, controlled risk-taking, and competition." Daniel Paquette & Mark Bigras, *The Risky Situation: A Procedure for Assessing the Father-Child Activation Relationship*, 180 Early Childhood Dev. & Care 33-50 (2010). Boys who do not regularly experience the love, discipline, and modeling of a good father are more likely to engage in what is called "compensatory masculinity" where they reject and denigrate all that is feminine and instead seek to prove their masculinity by engaging in domineering and violent behavior. Popenoe, *supra*, at 157.

Paternal modes of play activity are only one example of the ways in which fathers encourage their children to take risks. Compared to mothers, fathers are more likely to encourage children to try new things and to embrace novel situations and challenges. *See* Parke, *supra*, at 6. One study summarized this aspect of paternal input and observed that "[f]athers, more than mothers, conveyed the feeling that they can rely on their adolescents, thus fathers might provide a 'facilitating environment' for adolescent attainment of differentiation from the family and consolidation of independence." Shmuel Shulman and Moshe M. Klein, *Distinctive Role of the Father in Adolescent Separation-Individuation*, 62 New Dir. Child & Adolesc. Dev. 41, 53 (1993).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See also Linda Carroll, Dads Empower Kids to Take Chances, http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/37741738 (last visited November 17, 2014) (discussing Paquette's study).

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 25 of 44

Fathers also tend to utilize a different discipline style than mothers, in that they discipline with less frequency, but greater predictability and less flexibility in terms of deviating from pre-determined consequences for particular behavior. See Thomas G. Powers, et al., Compliance and Self-Assertion: Young Children's Responses to Mothers Versus Fathers, 30 Dev. Psychol. 980-89 (1994); Erickson, supra, at 11. Children respond differently to paternal discipline, and are comparatively more likely to resist maternal commands and comply with paternal requests. Maccoby, supra, at 274-75; Erickson, supra, at 11. This may be one reason why a number of studies have found that paternal influence and involvement plays an outsized role in preventing adolescent boys from breaking the law and lowering the odds that a teenage girl will become pregnant. See, e.g., Paul R. Amato & Fernando Rivera, Paternal Involvement and Children's Behavior Problems, 61 J. Marriage & Fam. 375-84 (1999) (finding that paternal involvement is linked to lower levels of delinquency and criminal activity, even after controlling for maternal involvement); Mark D. Regnerus & Laura B. Luchies, *The Parent-*Child Relationship and Opportunities for Adolescents' First Sex, 27 J. Fam. Issues 159-83 (2006) (noting that a study of 2000 adolescents showed that father-daughter relationship, rather than mother-daughter relationship, was an important predictor of whether and when adolescent girls transitioned to sexual activity); see also W. Brad Wilcox, et al., Why Marriage Matters: Twenty-Six Conclusions from the Social Sciences, 14, 22-23 (3d ed. 2011) (discussing evidence suggesting that female sexual development is slowed by early childhood exposure to pheromones of biological father, and accelerated by regular early childhood exposure to pheromones of adult male who is not child's biological father).

In sum, a substantial body of evidence demonstrates that both mothers and fathers make unique contributions to a child's development. Same-sex parenting structures, by definition, exclude either a mother or a father, and thereby deliberately deny a child the needed benefits of complimentary parenting. Certainly same-sex couples, like other parenting structures, can make sincere and successful efforts in raising children. That is not in question. But the social science evidence, especially evidence founded on conclusions from population-based samples, suggests that optimal childrearing requires the unique contributions that can only be found within a parenting structure consisting of both a mother and a father, political interests to the contrary notwithstanding. Therefore, it remains rational for government to provide distinctive recognition and incentive to that proven parenting structure through the status of marriage.

II. The Claim of "No Difference" in Outcomes of Children Raised by Gay and Lesbian Parents and Intact Biological Parents Is Empirically Undermined by Significant Methodological Limitations.

Decades of study on various parenting structures yield the near uniform conclusion that a biological mother and father provide optimal child outcomes.

Mark Regnerus, *How Different Are the Adult Children of Parents Who Have Same-Sex Relationships? Findings from the New Family Structures Study*, 41 Soc. Sci. Research 752, 763 (2012) [hereinafter *How Different?*]. So the claim that another parenting relationship produces child outcomes just as good as (or even better than) intact biological parents is a surprising proposition, to say the least, and one that must be rigorously tested (and until then, viewed with healthy suspicion).<sup>5</sup>

A closer examination of the studies purporting to show "no difference" between same-sex parenting and parenting by biological parents suggests that they cannot bear the weight that advocates place on them. Most striking is the fact that all but one failed to involve a large, random, representative sample of the population. While this has been attributed to the claim that such a sample is difficult to locate randomly, it nevertheless ought to raise concern when such studies are used to support broad public-policy changes, like those at issue in this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Although outcomes of children raised by adoptive parents are often positive, outcomes for those children are not typically as positive as children raised by biological parents in an intact marriage, despite the rigorous screening process involved in adoption. Regnerus, *How Different?*, *supra*, at 754-55 ("[S]tudies of adoption—a common method by which many same-sex couples (but more heterosexual ones) become parents—have repeatedly and consistently revealed important and wide-ranging differences, on average, between adopted children and biological ones. In fact, these differences have been so pervasive and consistent that adoption experts now emphasize that 'acknowledgement of difference' is critical for both parents and clinicians when working with adopted children and teens." (citing Brent Miller et al., *Comparisons of Adopted and Non-Adopted Adolescents In A Large, Nationally Representative Sample*, 71 Child Dev. 1458 (2000))).

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 28 of 44

case. In short, the "no difference" claim is unconvincing with such thin support.

Notably, this Court has already recognized these limitations in the research on gay and lesbian parenting, noting "significant flaws in the studies' methodologies and conclusions, such as the use of small, self-selected samples; reliance on self-report instruments; politically driven hypotheses; and the use of unrepresentative study populations consisting of disproportionately affluent, educated parents." *Lofton v. Sec'y of Dep't of Children and Family Servs.*, 358 F.3d 804, 825 (11th Cir. 2004). These limitations are explored below.

#### A. The APA studies are based on small sample sizes.

Most of the studies that the APA uses to support its no-difference conclusion "are based on small, non-representative, convenience samples of fewer than 100 participants." Loren D. Marks, Same-Sex Parenting and Children's Outcomes: A Closer Examination of the American Psychological Association's Brief on Lesbian and Gay Parenting, 41 Soc. Sci. Res. 735, 736-38 (2012); see also Douglas W. Allen, et al., Nontraditional Families and Childhood Progress Through School: A Comment onRosenfeld, Demography November 2012, http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s13524-012-0169-x/fulltext.html [hereinafter Comment on Rosenfeld] ("Although there has been considerable research on the effect of family structure on child outcomes, almost none of the research using nationally representative samples has included same-sex parents as Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 29 of 44

part of the analysis.").

The hallmark of a rigorous study is the use of a large, representative pool of participants drawn from a population-based random sample. Regnerus, *How Different?*, *supra*, at 754 (2012). It is very difficult to draw reliable conclusions from data derived from small samples because the conclusions from such limited studies cannot be confidently extrapolated to the general population and the risk of erroneously attributing statistical insignificance to between-group comparisons (that is, mistakenly concluding that there are no differences between groups) is high. Marks, *supra*, at 736.

Even analyzing matched samples, as a variety of studies have done, fails to mitigate the challenge of locating statistically-significant differences when the sample size is small. This is a concern in all social science, but one that is doubly important when there may be motivation to confirm the null hypothesis (that is, that there are in fact no statistically-significant differences between groups).

Regnerus, How Different?, supra, at 754.

A simple illustration shows the concern with small sample sizes. It is well established that having a stepfather in the home tends, on average, to result in less optimal child outcomes. Mark V. Flinn, *et al.*, *Fluctuating Asymmetry of Stepchildren*, 20 Evol. Hum. Behav. 465 (1999) ("In summary, the absence of a genetic relationship between stepchildren and stepparents may affect the quality and quantity of care—including specific behaviors that affect nutrition, sleep routines, hygiene, medical attention, workloads, instruction, comforting, protection

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 30 of 44

and so forth-with consequent affect on growth."); Marilyn Coleman, et al., Reinvestigating Remarriage: Another Decade of Progress, 62 J. Marriage & Fam. 1288, 1293 (2000) ("[M]ost researchers reported that stepchildren were similar to children living with single mothers on the preponderance of outcome measures and that stepchildren generally were at a greater risk for problems than were children living with both of their parents."). That is relevant for the matter at hand because every child in a "planned" gay or lesbian family has at least one nonbiological "step" parent. But because of the small sample sizes of same-sex parents (especially gay fathers) represented in the studies, these outcome differences have not often surfaced (or even been evaluated), raising additional questions about the reliability of the studies purporting to show no differences. Moreover, comparisons are most often made between children in heterosexual stepfamilies and those in gay unions, but that comparison overlooks the general consensus about the importance of biological connections.

Notably, one of the larger studies that the APA cites, but does not discuss, shows significant outcome differences between children raised by same-sex parents and those raised by biological parents in an intact relationship. That study demonstrated that "children of married couples are more likely to do well at school in academic and social terms, than children of cohabiting and homosexual couples." Marks, *supra*, at 742-43 (quoting S. Sarantokas, *Children In Three* 

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 31 of 44

Contexts: Family, Education, and Social Development, 21 Children Australia 23 (1996), and describing the study's findings in detail, its comparative statistical strength, and the APA's puzzling de-emphasis of it).

#### B. The APA's studies are largely based on homogeneous samples.

Not only are most of the studies claiming no differences based on small sample sizes, they also tend to draw upon "homogeneous samples of privileged lesbian mothers to represent all same-sex parents." Marks, supra, at 739. Many of the studies cited by the APA, for example, include samples predominantly composed of white, well-educated, middle-to-upper-class women. *Id.* at 738. As one study candidly acknowledged, "the study sample was small and biased toward well-educated, white women with high incomes. These factors have plagued other [same-sex parenting] studies, and remain a concern of researchers in this field." Id. (quoting Laura Lott-Whitehead and Carol T. Tully, The Family Lives of Lesbian Mothers, 63 Smith Coll. Studies Soc. Work 275 (1993)); see also C.J. Patterson, Children of Lesbian and Gay Parents, 63 Child Dev. 1025, 1029 (1992) ("Despite the diversity of gay and lesbian communities, both in the United States and abroad, samples of children [and parents] have been relatively homogenous . . . . Samples for which demographic information was reported have been described as predominantly Caucasian, well-educated, and middle to upper class.").

Very few of the APA-cited studies on same-sex parenting analyzed the

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 32 of 44

outcomes of children raised by gay fathers. Only eight of the fifty-nine cited studies included gay fathers, and only four of those included a heterosexual comparison group. Marks, *supra*, at 739. "Systematic research has so far not considered developmental outcomes for children brought up from birth by single gay men or gay male couples (planned gay father families), possibly because of the difficulty of locating an adequate sample." Fiona Tasker, *Lesbian Mothers, Gay Fathers and Their Children: A Review*, 26 Dev. & Behav. Pediatr. 224, 225 (2005).

# C. Most of the samples in the APA-cited studies relied on non-random, convenience sampling.

It is not surprising that the samples in these studies are so homogenous, since most of the people in them were recruited by use of non-random, convenience (snowball) sampling. Regnerus, *How Different?*, *supra*, at 753 (2012). For instance, one data-collection effort that has been the subject of at least 19 different peer-reviewed publications to date "recruited entirely by self-selection from announcements posted 'at lesbian events, in women's bookstores, and in lesbian newspapers' in Boston, Washington, and San Francisco." *Id.* This method of recruitment was common among the APA-cited studies. *Id.* Such "snowball sampling is known to have some serious problems" because it is impossible to generalize the findings of such a specific subgroup to the general population. *Id.* (quoting Tom A. Snijders, *Estimation on the Basis of Snowball Samples*, 36 Bulletin de Methodologie Sociologique 59 (1992)).

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 33 of 44

Because such studies' samples are garnered from people who have a great deal in common with each other, how well their findings characterize a broader population of gay families remains unknown. "By their own reports, social researchers examining same-sex parenting have repeatedly selected small, non-representative, homogeneous samples of privileged lesbian mothers to represent all same-sex parents." Marks, *supra*, at 739; *see also* Walter R. Schumm, *What Was Really Learned From Tasker & Golombok's (1995) Study of Lesbian & Single Parent Mothers?*, 95 Psych. Reports 422, 423 (2004) ("[O]ne has to be very careful in interpreting research on homosexual issues and be wary of outcomes when samples are very small and often nonrandom, so the null hypothesis is not rejected but is used for political purposes as if a meaningful result had been obtained").6

If these studies were being used to shed light on the outcomes of children raised by highly educated and affluent middle to upper class white women, their conclusions would have merit. But the studies ought not be generalized to the childhood and adolescent experiences of the wide spectrum of gay and lesbian parents, since gay and lesbian parents are, in reality, economically, racially, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Other scholars have noted that studies purporting to show no difference between children raised by same-sex couples and those raised by married mothers and fathers share these significant limitations. *See, e.g.*, Robert Lerner & Althea K. Nagai, *No Basis: What the Studies Don't Tell Us About Same-Sex Parenting* (Marriage Law Project 2001).

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 34 of 44

socially far more diverse than those studies imply.

The issue is further complicated by the political climate surrounding the fundamental definition of marriage. "Given the widespread support for same-sex marriage among social and behavioral scientists, it is becoming politically incorrect in academic circles even to suggest that arguments being used in support of same-sex marriage might be wrong." Glenn, *supra*, at 25; *see also* Judith Stacey & Timothy Biblarz, (How) Does the Sexual Orientation of Parents Matter?, 66 American Sociol. Rev. 159, 161 (2001) ("[T]oo many psychologists who are sympathetic to lesbigay parenting seem hesitant to theorize at all" and are apt to "downplay the significance of any findings of differences.").

Given such limitations characteristic of a nascent area of social-science research, the vast majority of the studies relied upon by the APA for its general claim that there is no difference in outcomes of children raised by gay and lesbian parents and those raised jointly by their own mothers and fathers are poorly poised to address the broad propositions asserted in this case.

# III. The Largest Population-Based Studies Do Not Confirm the "No Differences" Conclusion about Child Outcomes among Same-Sex Parents.

Recent research using larger, randomly selected, nationally representative samples suggests that there are significant differences in the outcomes of children raised by parents who have had a same-sex relationship and children raised by both

of their biological parents in an intact family. This research, called the New Family Structures Study (NFSS), was conducted on young adults with a very large sample size of nearly 3,000 participants, comprising a racially, socioeconomically, and geographically diverse group that reflects the diversity noted in demographic mappings of the gay and lesbian population in America. Regnerus, *How Different?*, *supra*, at 755, 757. The study surveyed adults aged 18-39 about their parent(s)' past same-sex relationships, which occurred as recently as a few years ago or as far back as 30 or more years.<sup>7</sup> Among that sample, 175 people reported living with a mother who was (and may still be) in a same-sex romantic relationship, and 73 reported living with a father who had been in a same-sex romantic relationship.

The study looked at "social behaviors, health behaviors, and relationships" by comparing child outcomes (as reported by the adult children rather than their parents) among various groups, including married biological parents, stepparents, single parents, and parents who had been in a same-sex romantic relationship. "When compared with children who grew up in biologically (still) intact, mother-father families, the children of women who reported a same-sex relationship look markedly different on numerous outcomes, including many that are obviously

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The NFSS may best capture what might be called an "earlier generation" of children of same-sex parents, and includes among them many who witnessed a failed heterosexual union.

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 36 of 44

suboptimal (such as education, depression, employment status, or marijuana use)."

Id. at 764. Some of the statistically significant differences where adult children who reported living in a household with their mother and her partner for at least some period of time (denoted below as "MLR"—that is, mother in a lesbian relationship) fared worse than children raised by both biological parents in an intact family (denoted below as "IBF"—that is, intact biological family) included:

- receiving welfare while growing up (17% of the IBF group and 70% of the MLR group),
- currently receiving public assistance (10% of the IBF group and 49% of the MLR group),
- current full-time employment status (49% of the IBF group and 17% of the MLR group),
- current unemployment (8% of the IBF group and 40% of the MLR group),
- having an affair while married or cohabitating (13% of the IBF group and 38% of the MLR group),
- having been touched sexually by a parent or other adult caregiver (2% of the IBF group and 26% of the MLR group), and
- having been forced to have sex against their will (8% of the IBF group and 27% of the MLR group).

Mark Regnerus, Parental Same-Sex Relationships, Family Instability, and Subsequent Life Outcomes for Adult Children: Answering Critics of the New Family Structures Study with Additional Analysis, 41 Soc. Sci. Res. 1367, 1372-74 (2012) [hereinafter Parental Same-Sex Relationships]; see also Douglas W. Allen,

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 37 of 44

High school graduation rates among children of same-sex households, Rev. Econ. Household, Sept. 2013 ("Children living with gay and lesbian families in 2006 were about 65% as likely to graduate compared to children living in opposite sex marriage families.").

Because of the smaller sample size for fathers who have had gay relationships, there were not as many statistically significant findings as compared to mothers who have had lesbian relationships. Nevertheless, adult children of fathers who are or have been in a same-sex relationship "are more apt than [adult children who were raised in intact biological families] to smoke, have been arrested, pled guilty to non-minor offenses, and report more numerous sex partners." Regnerus, *How Different?*, *supra*, at 764.

The study does not purport to assess causation or definitively answer political questions about family structures. Indeed, it would be difficult, if not impossible, to precisely determine causation under these circumstances. But it is noteworthy that the groups display numerous significant distinctions, which directly undermine the "no differences" hypothesis.

When the NFSS-based study was released in summer 2012, it initiated much heated discussion about same-sex parenting, and encountered widespread criticism and a level of scrutiny unusual for a published sociological study based on nationally representative data. Regnerus, *Parental Same-Sex Relationships*, *supra*,

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 38 of 44

at 1367. One of the most frequent criticisms by supporters of same-sex marriage was that the study compared "apples to oranges" because it compared the adult children of stably intact biological parents, on the one hand, with adult children of stably intact same-sex households *and* adult children whose mother or father left a heterosexual union for a same-sex one, on the other hand. *Id*.

But as the author's follow-up study noted, that criticism is unfair for at least two reasons. First, "if stability is a key asset for households with children, then it is sensible to use intact biological families in any comparative assessment." *Id.* at 1368. Indeed, a primary problem of nearly all previous same-sex parenting studies is that they seldom included a married biological family control group. *Id.* at 1368-69. Second, that most of the same-sex households in the study were unstable at some point does not mean that the study undercounted stable same-sex households; it could just as plausibly be interpreted as showing that same-sex relationships are often short-lived. *Id.* This alternative explanation is possible, if not probable, given other research on the comparative volatility of lesbian relationships.

A study of Norwegian and Swedish same-sex marriages notes that divorce risk is higher in same-sex marriages and that the 'risk of divorce for female partnerships actually is more than twice that for male unions'. Moreover, early same-sex marriages—those occurring shortly after a shift in marriage law—exhibited a similar risk of divorce as did more recent unions, suggesting no notable variation in instability over time as a function of new law or pent-up demand among more stable, longstanding relationships. The study authors estimate that in Sweden, 30% of female marriages are likely to end in divorce within 6 years of formation, compared with 20% for male

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 39 of 44

marriages and 13% for heterosexual ones.

*Id.* at 1370 (emphasis added) (quoting Gunnar Anderson, *et al.*, *The Demographics of Same-Sex Marriages In Norway and Sweden*, 43 Demography 79, 89 (2006)).<sup>8</sup>

Although this unanswered, empirically unknown question remains, what is clear is that there remains much to be studied in this domain, and hence confident assertions of "no difference" ought to be viewed with suspicion. As the author of the NFSS-based study indicated:

Perhaps in social reality there are really two 'gold standards' of family stability and context for children's flourishing—a heterosexual stably-coupled household and the same among gay/lesbian households—but no population-based sample analysis is yet able to *consistently confirm wide evidence* of the latter. Moreover, a stronger burden of proof than has been employed to date ought to characterize studies which conclude 'no differences', especially in light of longstanding reliance on nonrandom samples of unknown bias and the high risk of making [significant] errors in small-sample studies. Simply put, the science here is young. Until much larger random samples can be drawn and evaluated, the probability-based evidence that exists suggests that the biologically-intact two-parent household remains an optimal setting for long-term flourishing of children.

Id. at 1377 (citations omitted); see also Walter R. Schumm, Methodological Decisions and the Evaluation of Possible Effects of Different Family Structures on Children: The New Family Structures Survey, 41 Soc. Sci. Research 1357-66 (2012) (validating methodological decisions in the NFSS, and noting similar

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Although gay men's relationships appear more stable than lesbian relationships, they are less likely to be monogamous. Regnerus, *Parental Same-Sex Relationships*, *supra*, at 1370 (citing Colleen Hoff & Sean Beougher, *Sexual Agreements Among Gay Male Couples*, 39 Arch. Sex. Beh. 774 (2010)).

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 40 of 44

decisions in other large-scale surveys).

Other population-based studies have similarly identified better outcomes for children raised by a biological mother and father than children raised in other parenting structures. In assessing group differences in academic progress through school, Michael J. Rosenfeld noted no differences in school progress for children raised by same-sex parents. Michael J. Rosenfeld, Nontraditional Families and Childhood Progress Through School, 47 Demography 755 (2010). But a reanalysis of his high-quality, census-based sample—this time including the children of all couples, not just those who were residentially stable for at least five years revealed that "children being raised by same-sex couples are 35% less likely to make normal progress through school." Allen, Comment on Rosenfeld, supra (noting findings that "are strikingly different from those of the original [Rosenfeld] study"). Thus, Rosenfeld's original "no differences" conclusion may be a result of dropping more unstable households from his analytic sample.

Indeed, no existing study yet bears the ability to randomly compare large numbers of children raised by gay couples with those raised by heterosexual couples over a long period of time. The social science of same-sex parenting structures remains young, and extremely limited in its conclusions for children involved. Yet those analyses that employ large, population-based, random samples continue to document differences. With so many significant unanswered questions

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 41 of 44

about how children fare within same-sex households versus opposite-sex households, it remains prudent for government to continue to recognize marriage as a union of a man and a woman, thereby promoting what is known to be the optimal environment for raising children.

#### **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Amicus respectfully requests that this Court reverse the decision of the lower court.

Dated: November 21, 2014.

Respectfully submitted,

COHEN & GRIGSBY, P.C.

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae

RACHAEL SPRING LOUKONEN, ESQ.

Florida Bar No. 0668435

Mercato – Suite 6200

9110 Strada Place

Naples, Florida 34108-2938

Telephone - (239) 390-1913

Facsimile – (239) 390-1901

E-mail: rloukonen@cohenlaw.com

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 42 of 44

# **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

I certify that this brief complies with the type-volume limitation set forth in

Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(7)(B). This brief contains 6,174 words.

Dated: November 21, 2014.

Rachael Spring Loukonen
Attorney for Amicus Curiae

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 43 of 44

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on November 21, 2014, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system. The following participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the appellate CM/ECF system:

William J. Sheppard
Elizabeth L. White
Bryan E. Demaggio
SHEPPARD, WHITE & KACHERGUS, P.A.
215 Washington Street
Jacksonville, FL 32202
sheplaw@att.net

Maria Kayanan
Daniel Boaz Tilley
ACLU FOUNDATION OF
FLORIDA, INC.
4500 Biscayne Blvd Ste 340
Miami, FL 33137-3227
mkayanan@aclufl.org
dtilley@aclufl.org

Samuel S. Jacobson BLEDSOE JACOBSON SCHMIDT WRIGHT LANG & WILKINSON 1301 Riverplace Blvd., Ste 1818 Jacksonville, FL 32207-9022

Stephen F. Rosenthal PODHURST ORSECK, P.A. 25 West Flagler Street, Suite 800 Miami, FL 33130 srosenthal@podhurst.com

# Counsel for Plaintiffs-Appellees

Allen C. Winsor
Adam Scott Tanenbaum
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
The Capitol PL-01
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050
allen.winsor@myfloridalegal.com
adam.tanenbaum@myfloridalegal.com

James J. Goodman, Jr.
JEFF GOODMAN, PA
946 Main St.
Chipley, FL 32428
office@jeffgoodmanlaw.com

Counsel for Defendants-Appellants

Case: 14-14061 Date Filed: 11/21/2014 Page: 44 of 44

Dated: November 21, 2014.

Rachael Spring Loukonen
Attorney for Amicus Curiae