



Gordon Watts <gww1210@gmail.com>

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request 2016 FOIA 41830

Gww1210@aol.com <Gww1210@aol.com>

Fri, Jun 10, 2016 at 5:06 AM

To: CKnutte@atg.state.il.us, F@atg.state.il.us, publicaccess@atg.state.il.us, UWard@atg.state.il.us, ADougherty@atg.state.il.us, Thomas.Simpson@cookcountyil.gov, Gww1210@aol.com
 Cc: MPossley@atg.state.il.us, EBoyce@atg.state.il.us, PThompson@atg.state.il.us, Sarah.Pratt@atg.state.il.us, SPratt@atg.state.il.us, gww1210@gmail.com, Gww12102002@yahoo.com, GordonWayneWatts@aol.com, gordonwaynewatts@hotmail.com

Thank you, Caitlin. You all did a good job, so far. I did, however, have concerns about the courts being so slow (took over a YEAR even after repeated begging, etc. - documented in these attachments, specifically "PublicRecords-SCREWUPS-documented.pdf") to comply with my initial public records request, since they refused to quote me a fee, and I, if and when I have time, hope to get back with your office about this later, since it was that very delay, of over a year, which prevented my initial filings from beating the appeals deadline, and thus not being included in the record on appeal. (The trial judges later denied my request to supplement the record on appeal, even though it was their own screwups that delayed my initial records request, thus slowing my filing: I must have the records to review BEFORE filing something! Are your judges pain off or on the take? Why would they deny me a simple request to supplement the record on appeal? Maybe *that* should be investigated. AND Judge Flannery also denied my 298 "poverty" request, wrongly claiming I was filing on behalf of Daniggelis: No, I said "pro se," hello. See e.g., "judge-WRONGLY-claims-I-filed-on-behalf-of-another.jpg")

But, your department is probably the wrong one to address this public records screwup. Sarah Pratt, Public Access Counselor, would be the right person to look into this.

I'm copying Ms. Pratt - as well as Assistant Attorney General, Andrew Dougherty ([312.814.4892](tel:312.814.4892)) and the advocate assigned to Mr. Daniggelis, Urandi Ward ([312.814.3874](tel:312.814.3874)) to remind them that there was so much fraud that my head spun. (It is for that reason that they are reopening my complaint file.) **For just 2 more examples of copious, chronic, and ubiquitous fraud:**

1) I made so many requests to get Public Records of the clerk's office that I got to recognise Monalinda Saldivar's (MZSaldivar@CookCountyCourt.com) voice well enough that when I called back about a year later (after many months of repeated denials and refusals), we recognised each other's voice when we spoke by phone. The fact that I had called them that many times, honestly trying to get records of Daniggelis' case - and yet being unable - proves there is a screwup in the system.)

In all fairness, I didn't tell them the actual name of the document or documents with his signature. Nonetheless, I specifically asked the Clerk's office for ****all**** the records where Daniggelis had signed his name (to get documentation of two identical signatures, which proved a photocopy had been involved, something his attorney alleged but did not prove very well), and asked the clerk's office for an estimate, and asked them how to pay, and for over a year, they did not comply with my FOIA!! (see the attached **"PublicRecords-SCREWUPS-documented.pdf"** in this attachment). Now, I must add: The clerk's office did not act in malicious intent: They eventually complied with all my requests, but on almost 50% (half) of the occasions, my filings were lost and had to be refiled -and this initial public records request was ignored for over a year. I called the clerk's office so much over my initial FOIA that I got to know Ms. Saldivar, who, I believe, tried to grant my request, but, due I'm guessing to short staffing, kept being unable.

2) Referencing **"ScannedfromaXeroxmultifunctiondevice001.pdf,"** we find Daniggelis made a report way back on 30 September 2009 (RD#:HR563391, Event#:0927302357) that his signature was forged, something that Attorney Ed Grossman, a local famous attorney had told Daniggelis when they met, in which Grossman is said to have planned to go to the IARDC about Atty. Joseph Younes's fraud. (Younes is a former law partner of the infamous Paul L. Shelton, who was permanently banned by both the IDFPR and the IARDC for mortgage fraud).

I'm also copying Asst State's Atty Thomas Simpson ([773.673.6693](tel:773.673.6693)) who mostly likely is wrong about the statutes of

limitations being run out, e.g., (720 ILCS 5/3-5) for forgery appears to be 3 years, if I read it right --BUT: since I now have proof that Daniggelis jumped right on this back when it happened, I think her may have stopped the Statutes of Limitations. (Moreover, the refusal of the police to investigate itself should be investigated) [i.e., [Statute of limitations applied despite failure to discover forgery within the time limit](#) - **November 2012 Illinois Law**

Update, Page 580 On September 5, 2012, the fifth district appellate court held that the three-year statute of limitations in section 3-118(g) of the Uniform Commercial Code ("UCC") should be applied to actions for conversion of negotiable instruments even in situations where plaintiffs are not reasonably able to discover the loss within the time limit. 810 ILCS 5/3-118(g) (West 2010). This is bad case law, as it deprives the victim of notice sufficient to allow timely reporting of a forgery crime, and is ripe for reversal on appeal.]

My point? Daniggelis filed an affidavit of forgery in (A) the recorder's office, right after it happened in 2006 ("0-INTRO-4-Affidavit-CourtVersion-part2.PNG" "0-INTRO-1-Affidavit-RecordersOffice.PNG")

(B) with the police "**ScannedfromaXeroxmultifunctiondevice001.pdf**," the report which Daniggelis filed back on 30 September 2009 (RD#:HR563391, Event#:0927302357)

And (C) the continued efforts later to file a more complete affidavit with the court ("0-INTRO-2-Affidavit-RecordersOffice-filed-in-court.PNG" "0-INTRO-3-Affidavit-CourtVersion-part1.PNG")

Since Shelton, who was the notary on some of all of the forged docs, was permanently disbarred by TWO regulatory agencies (the IDFPR and the IARDC), this proves that the forgery that I allege in my amicus (see2007-CH-29738-Aug03-2015-Motion-Amicus-Exhibits-GordonWayneWatts.pdf) was indeed true. That I found forensic evidence that Daniggelis' attorney overlooked (duplicate signatures, a Power of Attorney that was stamped after the fact - i.e., 2 version of it etc.) only adds icing to the cake.

My point in including a cc to Asst. State's Atty, Thomas Simpson of the SAO that the OAG investigation was reopened because of multiple frauds (see OAG-acknowledgment-to-GordonWayneWatts-2016.pdf) was to document that claim in this email. (Mr. Simpson, I am still not finished reviewing the case law to document my claims that the case law in question tolls the SOL (statutes of limitations) for all cases - as described in IARDC-Sat30Apr2016-crossreply-of-GordonWayneWatts.pdf, by cross-reply to the IARDC, instead of just selected civil cases, as I recall you telling me when we spoke -- but I'm doing the best I can. I think the SOL is tolled, or so it is my gut feeling, even though I haven't reviewed all the statutory and case law on this point as yet. But, there's more than enough for the Atty General's office to go to town on this case - should they chose.)

Gordon

In a message dated 6/8/2016 2:29:14 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time, F@atg.state.il.us writes:

Dear Mr. Watts:

Attached please find a letter and records pertaining to your recent FOIA request.

Very truly yours,

Caitlin Q. Knutte

FOIA Officer

Assistant Attorney General

Office of the Illinois Attorney General

E-MAIL CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic mail message, including any attachments, is for the intended recipient(s) only. This e-mail and any attachments might contain information that is confidential, legally privileged or

otherwise protected or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not a named recipient, or if you are named but believe that you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail and promptly delete this e-mail and any attachments and copies thereof from your system. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any copying, distribution, dissemination, disclosure or other use of this e-mail and any attachments is unauthorized and prohibited. Your receipt of this message is not intended to waive any applicable privilege or claim of confidentiality, and any prohibited or unauthorized disclosure is not binding on the sender or the Office of the Illinois Attorney General. Thank you for your cooperation.

Gordon Wayne Watts, *editor-in-chief, The Register*

www.GordonWayneWatts.com / www.GordonWatts.com

BS, The Florida State University, Biological & Chemical Sciences;

Class of 2000, double major with honours

AS, United Electronics Institute, Class of 1988, Valedictorian

821 Alicia Road, Lakeland, FL 33801-2113

Home:(863)688-9880 Work: (863)686-3411 Voice&FAX:(863)687-6141 Cell:(863)409-2109

See also: http://Gordon_Watts.Tripod.com/consumer.html

Gww1210@aol.com ; Gww12102002@Yahoo.com

Truth is the strongest, most stable force in the Universe

Truth doesn't change because you disbelieve it

TRUTH doesn't bend to the will of tyrants <http://GordonWayneWatts.com> / <http://GordonWatts.com>

Get Truth

"First, they [Nazis] came for the Jews. I was silent. I was not a Jew. Then they came for the Communists. I was silent. I was not a Communist. Then they came for the trade unionists. I was silent. I was not a trade unionist. Then they came for me. There was no one left to speak for me."(Martin Niemöller, given credit for a quotation in The Harper Religious and Inspirational Quotation Companion, ed. Margaret Pepper(New York: Harper &Row, 1989), 429 -as cited on page 44, note 17,of Religious Cleansing in the American Republic, by Keith A. Fornier, Copyright 1993, by Liberty, Life, and Family Publications.

Some versions have Mr. Niemöller saying: "Then they came for the Catholics, and I didn't speak up, because I was a Protestant"; other versions have him saying that they came for Socialists, Industrialists, schools, the press, and/or the Church; however, it's certain he DID say SOMETHING like this. Actually, they may not have come for the Jews first, as it's more likely they came for the prisoners, mentally handicapped, & other so-called "inferiors" first -as historians tell us-so they could get "practiced up"; however, they did come for them -due to the silence of their neighbors -and due in part to their own silence. So: 'Speak up now or forever hold your peace!'-GWW

13 attachments



0-INTRO-1-Affidavit-RecordersOffice.PNG
480K





PublicRecords-SCREWUPS-documented.pdf

589K



ScannedfromaXeroxmultifunctiondevice001.pdf

1588K



2007-CH-29738-Aug03-2015-Motion-Amicus-Exhibits-GordonWayneWatts.pdf

939K